1	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP			
2				
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-233-4565			
4	Facsimile: 619-233-0508 jjasnoch@scott-scott.com			
5	Attorney for Plaintiff			
6	(Additional counsel below)			
7				
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION			
11				
12	AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf	Case No. 18-cv-04790-PJH		
13	of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION		
14	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION AND		
15	RIPPLE LABS INC., et al.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING		
16	Defendants.	SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND		
17		PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2		
18		Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	STIDLILATION AND IDPODOSED OPDED EVT	CENDING DDIEEING SCHEDI II E EAD		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2 CASE NO. 18-CV-04790-PJH

1	WHEREAS, on August 8, 2018, Defendants Ripple Labs Inc., XRP II, LLC, Bradley		
2	Garlinghouse, Christian Larsen, Ron Will, Antoinette O'Gorman, Eric van Miltenburg, Susan		
3	Athey, Zoe Cruz, Ken Kurson, Ben Lawsky, Anja Manuel, and Takashi Okita (collectively,		
4	"Defendants"), removed this matter from the Superior Court of the State of California, County of		
5	San Mateo ("San Mateo Superior Court"), to the United States District Court for the Northern		
6	District of California;		
7	WHEREAS, on September 7, 2018, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1447(c), Plaintiff Avner		
8	Greenwald ("Plaintiff") moved to remand this action to the Superior Court of the State of		
9	California, County of San Mateo;		
10	WHEREAS, on September 21, 2018, Defendants filed an opposition to Plaintiff's remand		
11	motion;		
12	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(c), Plaintiff's deadline to file a reply is currently		
13	September 28, 2018;		
14	WHEREAS Plaintiff's remand motion is set to be heard by this Court on October 24,		
15	2018, at 9:00 a.m.;		
16	WHEREAS, Plaintiff seeks a one-week extension of time in which to file his reply brief,		
17	due to pre-arranged vacation plans and competing work commitments of Plaintiff's counsel;		
18	WHEREAS, Defendants do not object to Plaintiff's request for additional time to file his		
19	reply brief;		
20	WHEREAS, this is Plaintiff's first request for an extension of time in this case;		
21	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's requested one-week extension will not affect any other deadlines		
22	in this case;		
23	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the		
24	attorneys for the undersigned parties, as follows:		
25			
26			
27			
30	1		

Case 4:18-cv-04790-PJH Document 18 Filed 09/26/18 Page 3 of 5

1	Plaintiff's reply memorandum with respect to his Motion to Remand may be filed no later		
2	than October 5, 2018.		
3	Dated: September 26, 2018	Respectfully submitted,	
4		SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP	
5		s/ John T. Jasnoch	
6		JOHN T. JASNOCH	
		600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300	
7		San Diego, CA 92101	
8		Telephone: 619-233-4565	
0		Facsimile: 619-233-0508	
9		jjasnoch@scott-scott.com	
10		— and —	
11		Thomas L. Laughlin, IV	
12		Rhiana L. Swartz	
12		SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP	
13		The Helmsley Building	
		230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor	
14		New York, NY 10169	
		Telephone: 212-223-6444	
15		Facsimile: 212-223-6334	
16		Attorneys for Avner Greenwald	
17	Datad: Santambar 26, 2018	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER	
18	Dated: September 26, 2018	& FLOM LLP	
19		s/ Peter B. Morrison	
		Peter B. Morrison	
20		300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400	
) 1		Los Angeles, CA 90071	
21		Telephone: 213-687-5000	
22		Facsimile: 213-687-5600	
23		Attorneys for Defendants	
24		* * *	
25			
26			
27			
_ /		2	
28		D] ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2 CASE NO. 18-CV-04790-PJH

1	E-FILING ATTESTATION		
2	I, John T. Jasnoch, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this		
3	document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-10	document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the signatory	
4	4 identified above has concurred in this filing.	identified above has concurred in this filing.	
5	5 DATED: September 26, 2018 SCOTT+SC	COTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP	
6	<u>5/ JOHI 1. Ja</u>		
7	JOHN T. JA	ASNOCH	
8	8		
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10			
11	11 Dated:		
12		YLIS J. HAMILTON . District Court Judge	
13	13		
14	14		
15	15		
16	16		
17	17		
18			
	19		
20			
21			
22			
	23		
	24		
	25		
26			
27	3		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2 CASE NO. 18-CV-04790-PJH

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on September 26, 2018, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the email addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List. Dated: September 26, 2018 s/ John T. Jasnoch John T. Jasnoch SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP 600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-233-4565 Facsimile: 619-233-0508 jjasnoch@scott-scott.com